

Hurricane Harvey School Finance Issues March 12, 2018

	Finance Issue/Response A	Is there an Outstanding Decision for the Legislature? B	FY 2018 Estimated Costs** C	FY 2019 Estimated Costs** D	Biennial Total Est. Cost** E	Legal Authority F
	Pre-Pay for Attendance increases for displaced students				_	-
1	 Districts will experience increased enrollment due to student displacement in the 2017–2018 school year. Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes. Issue: Many districts have newly enrolled students displaced from their home districts because of Hurricane Harvey. TEA does not normally increase Foundation School Program (FSP formula) funding to districts during the school year when there are increases in a district's student enrollment. Instead, FSP formula increases are made during the FSP settle-up process occurring in September of 2018 (FY 2019) following the school year. Legislative solution: Districts can receive an increase in their state aid <i>during</i> the 2017–2018 school year if they have increased average daily attendance (ADA) and apply to TEA. To date, only six districts have applied for adjustments with TEA, with a total of 324 students in ADA. These districts include Calallen ISD, College Station ISD, Cuero ISD, Gregory Portland ISD, Splendora ISD, and Victoria ISD. 	No. TEA issued guidance to school districts. Beginning with the October 2017 FSP formula payment, TEA will increase the amount of state aid to districts that (1) have additional ADA and (2) apply to TEA. To date, only six districts have applied for adjustments, with a total of 324 students ADA. These districts include Calallen ISD, College Station ISD, Cuero ISD, Gregory Portland ISD, Splendora ISD, and Victoria ISD.	State cost: \$5 million	State savings: (-\$5 million) These costs will be shifted from FY 2019 to FY 2018.	State cost: \$0	TEC §42.005(d). Average Daily Attendance.
	Enrollment Decline					
2	 Districts will lose FSP formula funding due to student enrollment declines caused by Hurricane Harvey during the 2017–2018 school year. Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes. With legislative approval, TEA has agreed to hold districts harmless for 2017–2018 enrollment declines that would otherwise decrease FSP state formula funding. 	No. With legislative approval, on October 9, 2018, TEA issued a To the Administrator Addressed Letter providing an ADA hold harmless option for 152 initially identified school districts and charter schools	Lost recapture state revenue: \$33 million Chapter 41 districts pay recapture during the 2017–2018 school year.	State cost: \$66 million \$66 million is the estimated amount in additional state aid that will be paid to Chapter 42 districts during September 2018 (FY 2019) FSP settle-up as a result of holding	State cost/lost recapture revenue: \$99 million	TEC §42.005(d). Average Daily Attendance.

	Finance Issue/Response A	Is there an Outstanding Decision for the Legislature? B	FY 2018 Estimated Costs** C	FY 2019 Estimated Costs** D	Biennial Total Est. Cost** E	Legal Authority F
	 Issue: School districts and charter schools with enrollment losses during the 2017–2018 school year will have lower average daily attendance (ADA). ADA is a major component in determining FSP formula funds as Texas provides funding on a per ADA basis. Thus, a decline in ADA in the 2017–2018 school year would normally result in the following: 1. For Chapter 42 districts, a loss of FSP funds in September 2018 (FY 2019) during FSP settle-up for the 2017–2018 school year. 2. For Chapter 41 districts, an increase in recapture made during the 2017–2018 school year. Legislative solution: TEA will hold school districts and charter schools meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA during the 2017–2018 school year. This will encourage districts to avoid reducing school personnel throughout the 2017–2018 school year. As of February 20, 2018, TEA has approved 76 districts as eligible for the hold harmless option. 	that (1) had damage to at least one facility, or (2) had instructional facilities that were closed for nine or 10 hurricane-related waiver days. The school district or charter school must complete the Governor's Commission to Rebuild Texas Worksheet. As of February 20, 2018, TEA has approved 76 districts as eligible for the hold harmless option. TEA will continue to monitor to ensure that qualifying districts are held harmless for ADA losses.		school districts harmless for 2017– 2018 enrollment declines. TEA will request a supplemental appropriation for the state aid portion during the 86th Texas Legislature.		
	2017–2018 School Year Tax Issues					
3a	Districts which have not ordered re-appraisals may experience a loss of maintenance and operations (M&O) local property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year.	Yes. The legislature must decide whether it wants to hold school districts harmless for the loss of	Potential state cost: \$150 million	State cost: N/A. This is covered in the 2018–2019 school year tax issues in row 6 below.	Potential state cost: \$150 million	TEC §42.2523. Adjustment for Property

districts narmiess for the loss of pelow. Value Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? anticipated local property tax Affected by revenue during the 2017–2018 No. State of school year. Disaster. Issue: School districts may experience losses in M&O local property tax revenue during the 2017-2018 school year due to delayed and uncollected tax collections. TEA collected district data and currently estimates that local M&O property tax revenue collections will be approximately \$150 million less than anticipated. Potential legislative solution: The legislature must decide whether it wants to hold school districts harmless for the \$150M loss of anticipated local property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year.

Is there an Outstanding Decision FY 2018 Estimated **Biennial Total** Legal FY 2019 Estimated Costs** **Finance Issue/Response** for the Legislature? Costs** Est. Cost** Authority Α D В С Е F Districts that have re-appraised their property for the 2017 Potential state Cost: State Cost: N/A Potential TEC 3b YES will realize losses in SY 2018 tax collections as a result of state cost: §42.2523. The legislature could choose to \$150 million This is covered in the 2018–2019 the re-appraisals \$150 million Adjustment hold these districts harmless for school year tax issues in row 6 for Property Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? the lost collections as a result of below. Value the re-appraisal No. Affected by State of **Issue:** Twelve school districts accounting for 26% of the Disaster affected districts property value have ordered reappraisals for the 2017 Tax year. These districts will experience losses in M&O local property tax revenue during the 2017–2018 school year due to lost tax collections. TEA collected district data and currently estimates that for these districts local M&O property tax revenue collections will be approximately \$150 million less than anticipated. TEC 4 Districts could experience a loss of local interest and No. State cost: \$0 State cost: N/A. State cost: \$0 sinking (I&S) property tax revenue during the 2017-2018 §42.2523. TEA will continue to monitor, but is This is covered in the 2018–2019 school year and default on their debt payments. Adjustment not aware of any district that is in school year tax issues in row 6 for Property Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? jeopardy of not making its I&S below. Value No. payments. Affected by State of **Issue:** The local I&S property tax revenue provides funds for the payment of the debt that districts issue to finance facilities Disaster. and other capital expenditures. TEA anticipates decreases in local I&S property tax revenue but is not aware of any district that is in jeopardy of not making its I&S payments. **TEA solution:** TEA is not aware of any district that is in jeopardy of not making its I&S payments and has no recommendation to assist districts at this time. Note: Charter schools do not levy taxes. 2018–2019 School Year Tax Issues Yes. State cost: N/A TEC 5a Un-reappraised districts will not receive their originally Potential state cost: Between \$500 Potential state estimated amount of maintenance and operations (M&O) §42.2523. million and \$1 billion (TEA estimate cost: Between . The legislature must determine This is covered in the property tax revenue due to property value declines of the difference between the \$500 million Adjustment 2017-2018 tax information whether to hold districts harmless caused by Hurricane Harvey. This could cause the district originally anticipated local property and \$1 billion for Property for the loss of anticipated property above. to lay off personnel prior to the 2018–2019 school year. tax revenue and currently Value tax revenue due to property value Affected by

Is there an Outstanding Decision FY 2018 Estimated **Biennial Total** Legal FY 2019 Estimated Costs** **Finance Issue/Response** for the Legislature? Costs** Est. Cost** Authority Α D В С Е F estimated local property tax Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? declines. TEA estimates that the State of No. There is no state obligation to make up for maintenance amount necessary to hold districts revenue). Disaster. and operations (M&O) property tax revenue declines caused harmless for the loss of local There is currently no state by Hurricane Harvey. property tax revenue is between obligation to make up for \$500 million and \$1 billion. Issue: Un-reappraised districts will not receive their originally maintenance and operations estimated amount of maintenance and operations (M&O) (M&O) property tax revenue property tax revenue due to property value declines caused by declines caused by Hurricane Hurricane HarveyPotential legislative solution: The Harvey. legislature must determine whether to hold districts harmless The legislature will decide whether for the loss of anticipated property tax revenue due to property it wants to hold school districts fully value declines. TEA estimates that the amount necessary to or partially harmless for the loss of hold districts harmless for the loss of local property tax anticipated local property tax revenue is between \$500 million and \$1 billion. revenue during the 2018-2019 school year. TEA estimates that the amount necessary to hold districts harmless for the loss of local property tax revenue is between \$500 million and \$1 billion. Districts that reappraised their property will have lower State cost: \$0 State cost: \$150 million 5b No. State cost: local property tax collections and therefore, will receive \$150 million TEA will pay additional state aid to N/A. This is covered in the Combined, these districts account more state formula funding. make up for the loss of local 2017–2018 tax information for 29 percent of the tax base of Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? property tax revenue. the affected districts. TEA's \$150 above. Yes. TEA is required by the FSP formulas to provide increased million estimate assumes a seven-TEA will ask for a supplemental FSP formula state aid for districts that reappraised their percent decline in value due to appropriation for the difference property value and lost local property tax revenue as a result. reappraisals. between amounts appropriated **Issue:** Beginning with the 2018–2019 school year, the state is and actual district entitlements. legally obligated to "make-up" state aid (state share) for any reported loss of local property tax revenue on reappraised 2017 tax year property. **TEA solution:** TEA will pay additional state aid to make up for the loss of local property tax revenue. TEA will ask for a supplemental appropriation for the difference between amounts appropriated and actual district entitlements. TEA is aware of 12 school districts that have voted to

**Amounts are estimates based on TEA's current knowledge and are subject to significant change. Some costs may be eligible for Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) reimbursements. For FY 2019, TEA will request a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature for the difference between the amount necessary to fully fund the formulas in FY 2019 and the amount appropriated in the General Appropriations Act.

4 | Page

reappraise their 2017 taxable property values: Conroe ISD,

	Finance Issue/Response A	Is there an Outstanding Decision for the Legislature? B	FY 2018 Estimated Costs** C	FY 2019 Estimated Costs** D	Biennial Total Est. Cost** E	Legal Authority F
	Fort Bend ISD, Humble ISD, Katy ISD, Lamar CISD, La Porte ISD, Magnolia ISD, Montgomery ISD, New Caney ISD, Splendora ISD, Spring Branch ISD, and Willis ISD.					
6	 As property values have declined, districts may be required to increase their local interest & sinking (I&S) tax rates to cover debt service payments during the 2018–2019 school year. This may result in higher local tax bills in certain districts. Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No. Issue: School districts are required to levy an I&S tax rate to provide enough property tax revenue to pay for the debt for their facilities and other capital expenditures. School districts may experience losses in I&S local property tax revenue during the 2018–2019 school year and be required to raise I&S tax rates. This may result in higher local tax bills in certain districts. Potential legislative solution: The legislature must determine whether to hold districts harmless so that they are not required to raise their tax rates. TEA estimates the amount necessary to hold districts harmless for the loss of I&S local property tax revenue is \$132 million. Note: Charter schools do not levy taxes. 	Yes. The legislature will decide whether it wants to hold school districts fully or partially harmless so that they will not have to increase local I&S property taxes rates. TEA estimates the hold harmless amount required to by paid to districts to be \$132-\$260 million.	State cost: N/A. This is covered in the 2017–2018 school year tax issues (above).	Potential state cost: \$132-\$260 million The legislature will decide whether it wants to hold school districts fully or partially harmless so that they will not have to increase local I&S property taxes rates.	Potential state cost: \$132-\$260 million The legislature could consider options to help mitigate the tax increase. However, I&S rates are adopted in the summer of 2018.	TEC §45.003 Bonds and Tax Elections. TEC §45.052 Guaranteed Bonds. TAX §26.08a Election to Ratify School Taxes
7	 Districts with enrollment losses during the 2017–2018 school year will have lower average daily attendance, which will in turn decrease the amount of their potential Instructional Facilities Allotment (IFA) or Existing Debt Allotment (EDA) state aid during the 2017–2018 school year settle-up that will occur in September of 2018 (FY 2019). Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes. Issue: Approximately 39 of the 130 affected school districts receive approximately \$47 million in state FSP aid for the IFA or EDA. These programs help districts pay for the debt they incur for facilities and other capital expenditures. 	 No. For the 2017–2018 school year, TEA will hold school districts meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA. This is anticipated to largely stabilize their IFA and EDA state aid amounts. This is a one-time adjustment for the 2017–2018 school year. See row 2 above. For the 2018–2019 school year, qualifying districts that reappraised will receive additional EDA and IFA state 	State cost: N/A. Cost is included in \$100 million ADA hold harmless in row 2 above. TEA will hold school districts meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA. This will largely stabilize their IFA and EDA amounts. This is a one-time adjustment for the 2017–2018 school year. See row 2 (above).	State cost: \$10 million Qualifying districts that reappraised will receive additional EDA and IFA state aid funding to make up for lost local property value and property tax revenue. See 5b above.	State cost: \$10 million	TEC §46.003 IFA TEC §46.032 EDA

Finance Issue/Response A	Is there an Outstanding Decision for the Legislature? B	FY 2018 Estimated Costs** C	FY 2019 Estimated Costs** D	Biennial Total Est. Cost** E	Legal Authority F
 TEA solution: For the 2017–2018 school year, TEA will hold school districts meeting certain qualifications <i>harmless</i> for their loss of ADA. This will largely stabilize their IFA and EDA state aid amounts. This is a one-time adjustment for the 2017–2018 school year. See row 2 above. For the 2018–2019 school year, qualifying districts that reappraised will receive additional EDA and IFA state aid funding to make up for lost local property value and property tax revenue. See row 5b above. Note: Charter schools are not eligible for IFA or EDA funding. 	aid funding to make up for lost local property value and property tax revenue. See row 5b above.				

	Finance Issue/Response A	Is there an Outstanding Decision for the Legislature? B	FY 2018 Estimated Costs** C	FY 2019 Estimated Costs** D	Biennial Total Est. Cost** E	Legal Authority F
	Facilities Issues		<u>^</u>	•		
8	 Chapter 41 districts that experienced facilities damage due to Hurricane Harvey may not have enough funds to cover their recapture payments. Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes, in forgone recapture funding to the state treasury. Issue: Chapter 41 school districts that have property damage can apply for a reduction or elimination in their recapture payment to the state for the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years for any facility damage costs <i>not</i> covered by insurance or FEMA. Importantly, however, districts cannot recover <i>more than their recapture payment amounts</i> (but see row 9 below). TEA solution: Chapter 41 districts with eligible remediation costs can offset recapture payments by applying to TEA in the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years. To date, TEA has received no applications. 	No. Chapter 41 districts can apply to TEA for disaster aid assistance to reduce their recapture payments for the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years. Facilities replacement costs obtained by TEA are estimated at \$900 million, \$300 million of which would be realized by Chapter 41 districts. FEMA and insurance should cover 90 percent of the anticipated losses, which implies a total of \$30 million in uncovered costs for Chapter 41 districts.	Lost recapture state revenue: \$10 million Loss of budgeted recapture to state treasury. Due to TEA requirements in documenting unremediated losses, TEA anticipates the majority of losses will be realized in the 2018–2019 school year	Lost recapture state revenue: \$20 million Loss of budgeted recapture to state treasury.	State cost: \$30 million	TEC §41.0931 Disaster Remediation Costs.
9	 Chapter 42 districts that experienced facilities damage due to Hurricane Harvey can receive facilities assistance, and Chapter 41 districts that experienced facilities damage due to Hurricane Harvey can receive facilities assistance beyond their recapture payment amounts. Is this a legally required cost to the state? No. Issue: The Texas Education Code provides that (1) Chapter 42 districts can receive facilities assistance beyond their recapture payment amounts (2) Chapter 41 districts can receive facilities assistance beyond their recapture payment amounts (see row 8 above), only if there is an FSP surplus in the fiscal year. Even if there is an FSP surplus, TEA must first use the surplus to finance special education camera needs. Note: Based on preliminary information, TEA has learned that FEMA may cover up to 90 percent of uninsured loss, leaving districts with at least a 10 percent uninsured and uncovered loss. 	Yes. TEA is encouraging districts to work with their insurance providers and FEMA to determine unreimbursed damage amounts and turn in applications to TEA. To date, TEA has received no qualifying applications. Facilities replacement costs obtained by TEA are estimated at \$900 million, \$600 million of which would be realized by Chapter 42 districts. FEMA and insurance should cover 90 percent of the anticipated losses, which implies a total of \$60 million in uncovered costs for Chapter 42 districts.	Potential increased state aid payments: \$30 million This cannot happen unless TEA borrows money from FY 2019 to create an FSP surplus in FY 2018. This has never been done before.	Potential increased state aid payments: \$30 million \$0 unless there is a sufficient FSP formula surplus in FY 2019. The legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature.	Potential state cost: \$60 million	TEC §42.2524 Reimbursem ent for Disaster Remediation Costs.

	Finance Issue/Response A	Is there an Outstanding Decision for the Legislature? B	FY 2018 Estimated Costs** C	FY 2019 Estimated Costs** D	Biennial Total Est. Cost** E	Legal Authority F
	Potential legislative solution: For school year 2017–2018, the only <i>potential</i> legal mechanism TEA can use to assist districts is to borrow money from FY 2019 and declare an FSP surplus in FY 2018. This has never been done before. Further, the surplus would first be required to be used for special education camera needs.					
	For school year 2018–2019, the legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature.					
	Other Funding Issues		<u>.</u>	- -	-	
1	 Compensatory Education (SCE) FSP formula funds, which will increase FSP state formula costs. Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? Yes. Issue: Data from the Texas Department of Agriculture (TDA) National School Lunch Program (free and reduced-price lunch) indicates that districts will have more students qualifying for the FSP formula SCE weight during the 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 school years. 	No. TDA collects and provides National School Lunch Program student eligibility data to TEA. Per customary practice, TEA incorporated TDA data into the FSP system for the 2017–2018 school year during February of 2018. Thus, affected districts recognized increases to state aid beginning with their February 2018 FSP payments.	State cost: \$103 million	State cost: \$44 million Costs will vary depending on the number of additional students eligible for free or reduced-price lunch.	State cost: \$147 million	TEC §42.152. Compensato ry Education Allotment.
1	Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No.	Yes. The legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature.	Potential state cost: \$0 All additional costs are borne by school systems.	Potential state cost: \$0 All additional costs are borne by school systems. The legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriations bill during the 86th Texas Legislature.	Potential state cost: \$0	

	Finance Issue/Response A	Is there an Outstanding Decision for the Legislature? B	FY 2018 Estimated Costs** C	FY 2019 Estimated Costs** D	Biennial Total Est. Cost** E	Legal Authority F
	Potential legislative solution: The legislature could provide additional funding as part of a supplemental appropriation during the 86th Texas Legislature.					
12	 Education service centers (ESCs) are incurring additional costs. Is this currently a legally required cost to the state? No, but TEA has pledged assistance. Issue: ESCs are incurring substantial costs as they help districts with hurricane-related remediation. TEA solution: TEA has pledged to help the ESCs, possibly by using its FSP transfer authority in Rider 25 of the 2018–2019 General Appropriations Act. 	No. TEA will continue to collect hurricane-related costs from the ESCs and report to the legislature.	State cost: \$1 million	State cost: \$1 million	State cost: \$2 million	